LEGAL LANDSCAPE OF THE REPUBLICAN RIVER BASIN

JUSTIN LAVENE

BUREAU CHIEF

AGRICULTURE ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES BUREAU
NEBRASKA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

FIRST ANNUAL STATE OF THE BASIN WATER CONFERENCE
MARCH 25, 2016

KANSAS v. NEBRASKA (2010 - 2015)

In February 2015, the United States Supreme Court issued its final decision in *Kansas v. Nebraska*.:

- 2008 2010 Arbitration on Non-Compliance Pursuant to Final Settlement Stipulation
- May 2010 Kansas Files Motion for Leave to File Petition with United States Supreme Court
- February 2015 United States Supreme Court issues its Decision
- Kansas originally requested over \$72 million in damages and a shutdown of 500,000 ground water irrigated acres



KANSAS v. NEBRASKA (2015) – CONT.

- Court ordered payment of \$5.5 million
 - \$3.7 million to Kansas for Nebraska's over use of water allocation (70,869 acre feet) in the 2005-2006 accounting period
 - \$1.8 million for disgorgement
 - Basically repayment of the benefit received by Nebraska as a result of our overuse
 - The Court noted that Nebraska has been in compliance since 2007
 - Nebraska passed new water laws and regulations to comply with the Compact
- The Court rejected Kansas' request for an injunction against Nebraska for future violations –
 No shutdown of 500,000 ground water irrigated acres
- The Court agreed to reform the accounting procedures of the Compact; Nebraska will no longer be charged with consuming water imported from the Platte River

LEADING UP TO LITIGATION...

- 2008 2011 Average to above average precipitation
- 2012 Extremely dry year
- December 2012
 - Integrated Management Plans (IMPs) jointly adopted by DNR and the Basin NRDs
 - DNR forecasts the available water supply and uses for 2013
 - Projected shortfall is calculated with triggers a Compact Call Year for 2013
- January 2013
 - Compact Call Year Order issued by DNR
 - DNR administers Surface Water Rights for Compact Compliance and issues Closing Notices
 - NRDs required to take actions to make up projected shortfall between supply and uses
- January 2014 2016
 - Compact Call Year Orders issued
 - DNR administers Surface Water Rights and NRDs make up forecasted shortfalls

HILL v. DNR ("HILL I - 2013")

<u>Plaintiffs</u>: Greg Hill, Brent Coffey, James Uerling, Warran Schaffert, each individually and on behalf of a Class of Similarly Situated Persons

- Class Action Plaintiffs are bringing this action on behalf of themselves and a class of water users.
- The Class includes "All FCID water users in 2013 who did not receive their full water allocation supply" and is made up of more than 150 members

Defendants: State of Nebraska and DNR

Claims

- Takings claims brought under both the United States and Nebraska Constitutions
 - Closing notices issued in 2013
 - DNR's alleged failure to regulate and curtail groundwater usage causing harm to surface water users.
- \$76 million in claimed damages for the 2013 crop year
 - Plaintiffs value the water to Nebraska producers at \$2,000/AF
 - 38,379 af number of af which Plaintiffs allege they were denied use of in 2013

HILL I - 2013 cont.

Current timeline

- July 31, 2014 Complaint filed in Furnas County District Court
- Sept. 22, 2014 State filed Motion to Dismiss
- Dec. 17, 2014 Hearing held on State's Motion to Dismiss
- Mar. 24, 2015 District Court grants State's Motion to Dismiss and gives Plaintiffs leave to amend
- Apr. 10, 2015 Amended Complaint filed in Furnas County District Court
- Apr. 30, 2015 State filed Motion to Dismiss
- July 2, 2015 Hearing held on State's Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint
- Sept. 29, 2015 District Court denied State's Motion to Dismiss in part (Takings Claim) and granted State's motion to Dismiss in part (Groundwater Pumping) lawsuit to proceed
- Oct. 28, 2015 State files Motion for Clarification and/or Motion for Reconsideration
- Jan. 14, 2016 Hearing held on the State's Motion for Reconsideration/Clarification
- Awaiting District Court's decision

HILL v. DNR ("HILL II - 2014")

<u>Plaintiffs</u>: Greg Hill, Brent Coffey, James Uerling, Warran Schaffert, each individually and on behalf of a Class of Similarly Situated Persons

- Class Action Plaintiffs are bringing this action on behalf of themselves and a class of water users.
- The Class includes "All FCID water users in 2014 who did not receive their full water allocation supply" and is made up
 of more than 150 members

Defendants: State of Nebraska and DNR

Claims

- Takings claims brought under Neb. Const. Art. I, § 21
 - Closing notices issued in 2014
 - DNR's alleged failure to regulate and curtail groundwater usage
- \$143.31 million in requested damages for the 2014 crop year
 - Plaintiffs value the water to Nebraska producers at \$2,000/AF
 - 71,655 af number of af which Plaintiffs allege they were denied use of in 2014

HILL II - 2014 Cont.

Current timeline

- Oct. 30, 2015 Complaint filed in Furnas County District Court
- Dec. 7, 2015 State filed motion to dismiss
- Jan. 14, 2016 Hearing held on the State's Motion to Dismiss
- Awaiting District Court's decision

CAPPEL v. DNR

<u>Plaintiffs:</u> Rodney Cappel, Steven Cappel, Cappel Family Farm, LLC, C & D Cappel Farms, LLC, and Midway Irrigation, Inc.

Defendants: DNR and Jeff Fasset in his official capacity as director of DNR

Claims: Suit filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983

- Takings Claim from the administration of their Surface Water Rights
- Alleging deprivation of Plaintiffs' property rights, procedural due process rights, and substantive due process rights under both the United States and Nebraska Constitutions for Closing Notices issued in 2013, 2014, and 2015
- Seeking monetary damages and restitution for taxes paid during 2013, 2014, and 2015

CAPPEL CONT.

Current Timeline:

- Dec. 1, 2015 Complaint filed in Hitchcock County District Court
- Dec. 18, 2015 Amended Complaint filed in Hitchcock County District Court
- Jan. 11, 2016 State files Motion to Dismiss
- April 7th Hearing on MTD at Hitchcock County District Court

NEBRASKA BOSTWICK IRRIGATION DISTRICT v. DNR ("NBID")

<u>Plaintiffs:</u> Bostwick Irrigation District, Scott Losey, Dan Shipman, Aaron Lewis, Gary Rasser, Robert F. Brown and William Wentwork, each individually and on behalf of a Class of Similarly Situated Persons.

Class action claim of NBID water users and is made up of more than 162 members

<u>Defendants:</u> DNR, Gordon W. Fasset in his capacity as Director of DNR and in his individual capacity, Upper Republican Natural Resources District (URNRD), Middle Republican Natural Resources District (LRNRD), and Lower Republican Natural Resources District (LRNRD).

Claims

- Challenging IMPs and closing notices
- Inverse condemnation (takings) claims from DNR issuing closing notices
- Alleged violation of Due Process of law and Equal Protection

Requested Relief

Plaintiffs ask the Court to find the Basin IMPs and Closing Notices unconstitutional, and to award damages
for the alleged takings claim

NBID CONT.

Current Timeline

- Jan. II, 2016 Complaint filed in Lancaster County District Court
- Feb. 24, 2016 State files Motion to Dismiss
- June 28, 2016 Hearing date on State's Motion to Dismiss
- **Possible Transfer of Case to Furnas County District Court

FRENCHMAN CAMBRIDGE IRRIGATION DISTRICT v. DNR ("FCID")

Plaintiff: Frenchman Cambridge Irrigation District

<u>Defendants:</u> DNR, Jeff Fasset in his Official Capacity, Middle Republican Natural Resources District (MRNRD), Upper Republican Natural Resources District (URNRD), Lower Republican Natural Resources District (LRNRD), Douglas Peterson, Attorney General of the State of Nebraska, and the Nebraska Department of Justice

Claims

- Petition for review of IMP Plaintiffs allege that the IMP is unconstitutional and should be declared null and void
- Plaintiffs also request that the orders of the NRDs and DNR implementing the IMP should be reversed and vacated

FCID CONT.

Current Timeline

- Jan. 7, 2016 Petition for Review filed in Lancaster County District Court
- Mar. 3, 2016 Defendants filed Motion to Dismiss
- June 2, 2016 Hearing date on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss
- **Possible Transfer of Case to Furnas County District Court

POTENTIAL LITIGATION MOVING FORWARD

- Motions to Dismiss
 - Briefing / Hearings
- Discovery
 - Document Production / Depositions / Expert Reports
- Motions for Summary Judgment
 - Briefing / Hearings
- Trial
- Appeals
- Potential for 2 3 years left of litigation (conservative estimate)

QUESTIONS??

